

- ¹M. Bott-Bodenhausen et al., Phys. Lett. B24, 194 (1967).
²V. Bisi et al., Phys. Lett. B25, 572 (1967).
³N. Camerini et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 13, 318 (1964).
⁴H. H. Bingham et al., Proc. of the Sienna Conf. on Elementary Particles, 1968, vol. 1, p. 555.
⁵E. P. Shabalin, Yad. Fiz. 8, 74 (1968) [Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 8, 42 (1969)].

- ⁶M. A. Bagi Beg, Phys. Rev. 132, 426 (1963).
⁷M. L. Good et al., Phys. Rev. 151, 1194 (1967).
⁸N. Cabibbo and E. Ferrary, Nuovo Cimento 18, 928 (1960).
⁹L. M. Sehgal, Nuovo Cimento 45, 785 (1966).
¹⁰M. Baker and S. L. Glashow, Nuovo Cimento 25, 857 (1962).

539.12

VERIFICATION OF AXIAL INTERACTION IN K_{e2}^+ DECAYS

I. S. TSUKERMAN

Experiment	Bowen et al. ¹	Botterill et al. ²	V-A theory (with allowance for radiative corrections)
Decay Statistics	K_{e2}^+ 7	K_{e2}^+ 10	
$\Gamma(K_{e2}^+)/\Gamma(K_{\mu 2}^+)$	—	$(1, 9 \pm 0.7) \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.4 \cdot 10^{-5}$
$\Gamma(K_{e2}^-)/\Gamma_{\text{tot}}(K^+)$	$(2, 4 \pm 1.8) \cdot 10^{-5}$	$(1, 2 \pm 0.5) \cdot 10^{-5}$	$1.44 \cdot 10^{-5}$
$ f^P/f^A $ at 95% confidence level.	$3 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.25 \cdot 10^{-3}$	0

$$R = \Gamma(K_{e2}^+)/\Gamma(K_{\mu 2}^+), \quad R = 0.815 R_0$$

(the coefficient 0.815 is due to the radiative corrections^[3]),

$$R_0 = \frac{(M_K^2 - M_e^2)^2}{(M_K^2 - M_\mu^2)^2} \left| \frac{M_e f^A / M_K + f^P}{M_\mu f^A / M_K + f^P} \right|^2, \quad R_{\text{exp}} / R_{\text{theor}} \approx 1 \pm 10^3 (f^P/f^A)^2,$$

where f^A and f^P are the axial and pseudoscalar coupling constants, respectively.

¹D. R. Bowen et al., Phys. Rev. 154, 1314 (1967).

²D. R. Botterill et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 19, 982 (1967).

³S. M. Berman, Phys. Rev. Lett. 1, 468 (1958); D. E. Neville, Phys. Rev. 124, 2037 (1961).

VERIFICATION OF VECTOR INTERACTION IN K_{e3}^+ DECAYS

Experiment	Decay	Statistics	Method*	Measurement	2-probability for pure interaction variants			Admixture to amplitude of scalar and tensor interactions	
					Vector V	Scalar S	Tensor T	$ S/L $	$ T/V $
Auerbach et al. ¹	K_{L3}^0	~ 100	SC	Pion-lepton angle	0.875 (confidence level)	0.112	0.014	—	—
Firestone et al. ²	K_{Le3}^0	~ 760	LHC	$E_\pi - E_e$ Dalitz distribution	35%	$\sim 0\%$	$\sim 0\%$	—	—
Callahan et al. ³	$K_{\mu 3}^+$	~ 2650 ~ 440	HLC	μ spectrum π spectrum	30% 70%	1% 1%	1% 1%	—	—
Bellotti et al. ⁴	K_{e3}^+	~ 620	HLC	$\pi - \nu$ angle in $\nu - e$ cms	25% a 35% b 28% c	1% 1% $\sim 0\%$	$\sim 0\%$ 0.1% $\sim 0\%$	< 0.12 < 0.09	< 0.06 < 0.09
Cester et al. ⁵	K_{e3}^+	~ 1680	SC	e^* spectrum	39%	$\sim 0\%$	$\sim 0\%$	0.18 at 90% confidence level	0.04
Kalmus and Kernan ⁶	K_{e3}^+	~ 515	HLC	$\pi - \nu$ angle in $\nu - e$ cms	10%	—	—	0.3 at 95% confidence level	1.1
Eschstruth et al. ⁷	K_{e3}^+	~ 4640 ~ 1390	SC	e^* spectrum e^* spectrum and π^0 registration	—	—	—	0.15 0.05 at 90% confidence level	0.40 0.07

*SC—spark chamber, LHC—liquid hydrogen chamber, HLC—heavy liquid chamber

TABLES OF EXPERIMENTAL DATA

- ¹ L. Auerbach et al., Phys. Rev. 149, 1052 (1966).
² A. Firestone et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 18, 176 (1967).
³ A. C. Callahan et al., Phys. Rev. 150, 1153 (1966).
⁴ E. Bellotti et al., a) Phys. Lett. 20, 690 (1966);
 b) Heidelberg Conf. on Elem. Particles, 1967; c) Nuovo
 Cimento A52, 1287 (1967).
⁵ R. Cester et al., Phys. Lett. 21, 343 (1966).
⁶ G. E. Kalmus, A. Kernan, Phys. Rev. 159, 1187
 (1967).
⁷ P. T. Eschstruth et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. A19 (22), 2
 (1967).

539.12

WEAK RADIATIVE DECAYS

A. T. FILIPPOV

Joint Institute for Nuclear Research

Quantity	Experimental value and Literature reference	Number of events	Remarks, procedure
$\frac{\Gamma(K_L \rightarrow 2\gamma)}{\Gamma(K_L \rightarrow \text{all})}$	(7.4 ± 1.6) 10 ⁻⁴ ¹	33	Spark chambers
$\frac{\Gamma(K_L \rightarrow 2\gamma)}{\Gamma(K_L \rightarrow \text{all})}$	(1.3 ± 0.6) 10 ⁻⁴ ²	17	Spark chambers
$\frac{\Gamma(K_L \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma)}{\Gamma(K_L \rightarrow \text{all})}$	< 3 · 10 ⁻³ ³		Confidence 85%; spark chambers
$\frac{\Gamma(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0 \gamma)}{\Gamma(K^+ \rightarrow \text{all})}$	(2.2 ± 0.7) 10 ⁻⁴ ⁴	18	55 MeV < T _{π⁺} < 80 MeV; freon bubble chamber
$\frac{\Gamma(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^- \gamma)}{\Gamma(K^+ \rightarrow \text{all})}$	(1.0 ± 0.4) 10 ⁻⁴ ⁵		E _γ > 10 MeV; emulsion
$\frac{\Gamma(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ 2\gamma)}{\Gamma(K^+ \rightarrow \text{all})}$	< 1.5 · 10 ⁻⁴ ⁶		
$\frac{\Gamma(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ e^-)}{\Gamma(K^+ \rightarrow \text{all})}$	< 4 · 10 ⁻⁷ ⁷		
$\frac{\Gamma(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \mu^+ \mu^-)}{\Gamma(K^+ \rightarrow \text{all})}$	< 3 · 10 ⁻⁶ ⁸		Confidence, 90%, freon bubble chamber
$\frac{\Gamma(K^+ \rightarrow \pi^0 e^+ \nu \gamma)}{\Gamma(K^+ \rightarrow \text{all})}$	(1.2 ± 0.8) · 10 ⁻² ⁹		
$\frac{\Gamma(\pi \rightarrow \mu \nu \gamma)}{\Gamma(\pi \rightarrow \text{all})}$	(1.24 ± 0.25) · 10 ⁻⁴ ¹⁰	26	Emulsion
$\frac{\Gamma(\pi \rightarrow e \nu \gamma)}{\Gamma(\pi \rightarrow \text{all})}$	(3.10 ± 0.5) · 10 ⁻⁸ ¹¹	143	Determination of ratio of axial and vector form factors*
$\frac{\Gamma(\Sigma^+ \rightarrow p \gamma)}{\Gamma(\Sigma^+ \rightarrow p \pi^0)}$	(0.37 ± 0.08) · 10 ⁻² ¹²	24	Hydrogen bubble chamber
$\frac{\Gamma(\Sigma^+ \rightarrow p \gamma)}{\Gamma(\Sigma^+ \rightarrow p \pi^0)}$	(0.17) · 10 ⁻² ¹³	4	Emulsion
$\frac{\Gamma(\Sigma^+ \rightarrow n \pi^+ \gamma)}{\Gamma(\Sigma^+ \rightarrow n \pi^+ \gamma)}$	≈ 1.8 · 10 ⁻³ ¹⁴		P _{π⁺} < 166 MeV/c; hydrogen bubble chamber
$\frac{\Gamma(\Sigma^- \rightarrow n \pi^- \gamma)}{\Gamma(\Sigma^- \rightarrow n \pi^-)}$	≈ 1.4 · 10 ⁻³ ¹⁵		P _{π⁻} < 166 MeV/c; hydrogen bubble chamber

*a/F = -2.0 ± 0.1; or a/F = 0.3 ± 0.1. For notation see the paper of A. T. Filippov
at this seminar.

¹ J. W. Cronin et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 18, 25 (1967).² L. Criege et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 17, 150 (1966).³ B. M. K. Nefkens et al., Phys. Lett. 19, 706 (1966).⁴ D. Cline et al., Phys. Lett. 15, 293 (1964).⁵ P. Stamer et al., Phys. Rev. B138, 440 (1965).⁶ M. Chen et al., Heidelberg Conference on High Energy Physics, 1967.⁷ D. Cline et al., Heidelberg Conference on High Energy Physics, 1967.⁸ U. Camerini et al., Nuovo Cimento 37, 1795 (1965).⁹ E. Bellotti et al., Heidelberg Conference on High Energy Physics, 1967.¹⁰ C. Castagnoli et al., Phys. Rev. 112, 1779 (1958).¹¹ P. Depommier et al., Phys. Lett. 7, 285 (1963).¹² M. Bazin et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 14, 154 (1965).¹³ G. Quarenici et al., Nuovo Cimento, A40, 928 (1965).¹⁴ M. Bazin et al., Phys. Rev. B140, 1358 (1965).